

Report to the Executive Member for Public Protection for Decision

Portfolio: Public Protection

Subject: Traffic Regulation Order - Proposed Waiting

Restrictions – Swanwick Lane, Swanwick

Report of: Director of Operations

Strategy/Policy:

Corporate Objective: A safe and healthy place to live and work

Purpose: To inform the Executive Member of the outcome of the statutory advertisement of a proposal to introduce waiting restrictions in a short length of Swanwick Lane and to obtain authorisation to implement a Traffic Regulation Order.

Executive summary: This report considers the reasons for proposing waiting restrictions in Swanwick Lane.

Recommendation: That the waiting restrictions as shown at Appendix A are introduced.

Reason: To remove the risk of obstructions and to improve road safety.

Cost of Proposals: The cost of the proposals will be met by Hampshire County Council.

Risk Assessment: There are no identified risks associated with this proposal.

Appendices Appendix A: Scheme drawing

Executive Briefing Paper

Date: 25 January 2017

Subject: Traffic Regulation Order - Proposed Waiting Restrictions – Swanwick

Lane, Swanwick

Briefing by: Director of Operations

Portfolio: Public Protection

Supporting Information

- 1. Swanwick Lane runs between the A3051 Botley Road at Swanwick, and the main A27 at Lower Swanwick. It serves a number of local houses and has carried a level of traffic running between these A class roads for some years.
- 2. Since the opening of Yew Tree Drive to all traffic, the volume of through traffic using Swanwick Lane has increased leading to concerns being raised. In response Hampshire County Council have an ongoing programme to address concerns about speeding and road safety along this road, and one of the measures includes proposing an extension to existing waiting restrictions to the west of Anglers Way, as shown at Appendix A.

Consultations

- 3. The Police, Ward and County Councillors have been consulted on this proposal and expressed their support.
- 4. The Statutory Consultees were consulted and no objections were received.

Representations

5. The proposal was advertised in December 2016. No responses were received.

Conclusion

6. It is therefore recommended that the proposed waiting restrictions are implemented as advertised and shown at Appendix A.